

**ARIZONA GAME AND FISH DEPARTMENT
HERITAGE DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM**

Plant Abstract

Element Code: PDMAL020E0

Data Sensitivity: No

CLASSIFICATION, NOMENCLATURE, DESCRIPTION, RANGE

NAME: *Abutilon parishii* Wats.
COMMON NAME: Pima Indian Mallow, Parish Indian Mallow
SYNONYMS:
FAMILY: Malvaceae

AUTHOR, PLACE OF PUBLICATION: Watson, S. 1885. Proc. Amer. Acad. 20:357.

TYPE LOCALITY: Arizona: Santa Catalina Mountains.

TYPE SPECIMEN: ARIZ, no number. C.G. Pringle. April 1884.

TAXONOMIC UNIQUENESS: The genus *Abutilon* contains approximately 150 species. Ten species of *Abutilon* are recognized by Lehr (1978) as occurring in Arizona. Kearney et al. (1960:539) relegated *A. parishii* to synonymy with *A. palmeri*, but in the supplement to Kearney et al. Peebles (1960:1060) separated the two species. Lehr (1978) recognizes both species. Shreve and Wiggins (1964) consider *A. parishii* more closely related to *A. wrightii* than *A. palmeri*. P. Fryxell retains this species in the revision of the Malvaceae completed in 1991 for the Vascular Plants of Arizona project.

DESCRIPTION: A suffrutescent plant (woody base, herbaceous branches) up to 190 cm (75 in.) tall from a woody rootstock; **1-11 stems per plant (average 2.5)**; branches and petioles densely stellate-tomentose (star-shaped hairs) and somewhat hirsute (coarse hairs), with slightly reflexed, simple hairs; petioles slender to 7.0 cm (2.8 in.) long; **leaf blades heart-shaped, usually with a long "drip tip"**, densely velvety on both surfaces, **dark green above, nearly white beneath**, 2.0-5.0 cm (0.8-2.0 in.) wide, 3.0-7.0 cm (1.2-2.8 in.) (up to 10.0 cm (4.0 in.)) cm long, corrugated appearance and indented veins, teeth irregular in size; average length:width ratio of leaf = 3:2; fruit 7.0-8.0 mm (0.28-0.32 in.) high, 8.0-10.0 mm (0.3-0.4 in.) wide, with aristate tip on carpel, thinly pubescent with simple spreading hairs along dorsal sutures of oblong carpels; **5-10 carpels with fine tips about 2.0 mm (0.08 in.) long**; three seeds in each carpel, brown, irregularly puberulent (minute pubescence). Petals light orange to orange-yellow, extend beyond the sepals.

AIDS TO IDENTIFICATION: Tall stem mostly naked. Larger leaves rarely over 10.0 cm (4.0 in.) long. Dead stems are up to 1.0 m (3.3 ft.) high, with empty fruit capsules which persist throughout the winter. Distinguishable from *A. wrightii* by the shorter calyx lobes which are less than half as long as the carpels in fruit. Distinguished from *A. palmeri* in having corollas only about 10.0 mm (0.4 in.) long (15.0-25.0 mm (0.6-1.0 in.) in *A. palmeri*), a more paniculate (branching) inflorescence and longer stem hairs mostly reflexed. *A. parishii* has only a superficial resemblance to *A. palmeri*. *A. sonorae* is usually single-stemmed and has carpels with 1.0 mm (0.04 in.) long tips. *A. reventum* is usually single-stemmed.

ILLUSTRATIONS: Color photo of flower (Van Devender et al. 1991:5)
Color photo of leaf (Van Devender et al. 1991:6)
Line drawing. USFWS.

TOTAL RANGE: Presently known from 84 populations in 17 mountain ranges (Van Devender et al. 1994). Plants found from Bagdad to Nachopouli Canyon, Sonora, Mexico.

Kearney et al. (1960:1060) refer to locality at Mercury Mine in Mazatzal Mountains, collection by Eastwood in 1929. Confusion in his field notes as to collection site location. Identification needs verification. This location disjunct from Tucson area populations and in questionable habitat. T. Van Devender searched area in September, 1991. Did not find appropriate habitat (Van Devender et al. 1994). Identification of the Little Shipp Wash specimen (coll. P Schneider) confirmed by T. Van Devender who relocated a few scattered plants in field, September, 1991. Presence of this small population disjunct from Tucson Mountain area populations suggest plant may also occur in other scattered localities.

First collected in Mexico in 1992 in five localities in the Municipios de Hermosillo(2) and Guaymas (3) (Van Devender et al. 1994).

RANGE WITHIN ARIZONA: Maricopa County: Superstition Mountains; Pima County: Santa Catalina, Rincon, Silverbell, and Tucson Mountains; Pinal County: Mineral Hills, Superstition, Picacho, Tortolito, and Dripping Springs Mountains; Santa Cruz County: Santa Rita and Tumacacori Mountains; Yavapai County: Little Shipp Wash and Cottonwood Creek near Bagdad. Sabino Canyon.

SPECIES BIOLOGY AND POPULATION TRENDS

GROWTH FORM: Herbaceous perennial.

PHENOLOGY: Flowers open only from 3:30 to 4:30 pm only when sunny. If it clouds over, flowers close. A relatively weak spring flowering is followed by a longer late summer-fall bloom. Plants can flower spring through fall, in response to rain. Plants are expected to be self-fertile; flowers do not have to open to produce seed.

BIOLOGY: Plants "may occasionally live 8 to 10 years although most plants in a population may be less than 5 years in age" (T. Van Devender, C.D. Bertelsen and J.F. Wiens 1994). Individual plants appear to come up each year. In 1990, in Pontatoc Canyon (Santa Catalina Mountains), 13 plants found in area about 1.5 meters square. Of these, 3 were mature plants estimated to be at least 2 years old, 2 were about 1 year old, and 8 were very small plants that probably germinated that year. This is only "concentration" of new seedlings known. Arizona-Sonora Desert Museum germinated seeds, had at least 4 mature plants in 1991. Seeds seem to germinate along areas where water has flowed (e.g. along trails). Seedlings seem to do best when they grow at base of rocks in full sun (Bertelsen 1990). Two growth forms: summer, elongate from a basal rosette; winter, basal rosette dies back. Persistent (dead fruits stay on plants good part of year).

Under water stress, leaves fold along midvein. In extreme drought, portions of leaves dry, die and fall off. This may give remaining portion of leaf roundish appearance. Plant responds rapidly to available moisture.

HABITAT: Mesic situations in full sun within higher elevation Sonoran desertscrub. On rocky hillsides, cliff bases, canyon bottoms, lower side slopes and ledges of canyons among rocks and boulders. Slopes can exceed 45E. In riparian zones, occurs on flat secondary terraces but typically not in canyon bottoms. Often found near trails, probably due to the influence of the trail on the light, heat and water of the micro-habitat.

Santa Rita's - desert grassland on slate. South of Hermosillo volcanics to coast of Sonora.

Likes rocky substrate. Higher bajadas or low in washes. Little Shipp Wash northernmost location. Some Mojave plants. (Desert tortoise habitat). Lot of grasses. To Silver Bells, Tucson.

ELEVATION: In Arizona: 1,720 to 4,900 feet (525-1495 m). In Mexico: 394 to 1,952 feet (120-595 m).

EXPOSURE: Usually in canyons with southern or western exposure; plants prefer a southern exposure even when in east- or west-facing canyons. 50% of sites on slopes of 45% or more.

SUBSTRATE: Bouldery, rocky shallow soils. Found on rhyolite, granite, gneiss and Pleistocene alluvium. Granite, rhyolite, limestone, slate at cliff base.

PLANT COMMUNITY: Lower Sonoran desertscrub, transition zone of Upper Sonoran grassland communities, and Sonoran deciduous riparian forest to Arizona Upland Desertscrub.

POPULATION TRENDS: Plant widespread. Status survey conducted from 1991 to 1994 discovered numerous new populations in several mountain ranges in south-central Arizona and central Sonora. This is substantial expansion of known range with much unsurveyed potential habitat between known sites. Population numbers appear to increase in wet years and decline in dry years (Van Devender and Bertelsen 1994). Bertelsen (1990) stated half of 94 plants known to him in 1990 germinated in last two years. Areas where this observation made seemed to have greater than average rainfall.

Total of 270 plants located in Arizona during 1991. Of these, 199 (73%) were in Santa Catalina Mountains. Bertelsen reports that the same plants were only 38% of their height in 1990 (1990 was a relatively dry year). Of the 199 plants, 150 plants were less than 20.0 cm (8.0 in.) tall. Of all the plants, 57-63% were non-reproductive. Largest population in Ventana Canyon, Santa Catalina Mountains (Bertelsen 1991).

Populations appear to be cyclical: between 1992 and 2000, six populations I have monitored on at least a yearly basis in the Rincon, Tucson, and Santa Catalina Mountains declined 80 to 95%, most likely because of drought (Bertelsen 2000).

Bertelsen (2000) reports, two populations in Mexico (Batamonte and Cerro Yeso) have been eliminated because of the spread of buffel grass (*Pennisetum ciliare*), which has burned several times.

SPECIES PROTECTION AND CONSERVATION

ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT STATUS:	None (USDI, FWS 1996) [C2 USDI, FWS 1993] [C2 USDI, FWS 1990]
STATE STATUS:	Salvage Restricted (ARS 1993)
OTHER STATUS:	Forest Service Sensitive (USDA, FS Region 3 1999) [Forest Service Sensitive USDA, FS Region 3 1990]

MANAGEMENT FACTORS: Potentially mining and related activities. Recreation; some plants immediately adjacent to existing trails subject to trampling by people who wander from trail. Plants must be considered during trail maintenance activities. Livestock trampling and habitat degradation due to livestock overuse. Bertelsen (1991) noted that everywhere plant found, immediate area not heavily grazed; sites very steep. Palatability unknown but expected to be highly desirable to cattle. Deer and rabbits appear to browse plants. Trampling by bighorn sheep has occurred in Silverbell Mountains, but sheep do not appear to eat plant.

In Arizona, no real threats. Grows on steep habitat, eliminating grazing pressures. Freezing or light fires do not hurt plant. However, Sonoran conditions different: buffelgrass, introduced for grazing, and thornberry are threats.

CONSERVATION MEASURES TAKEN: Bertelsen has had some trails diverted in the Santa Catalina Mountains.

SUGGESTED PROJECTS: Some trail diversions may be necessary. Education of organizations and businesses such as the Coronado National Forest trail crew, Southern Arizona Hiking Club and Canyon Ranch Resort, as well as signs warning of rare plant presence and importance of remaining on trails might help. Studies to determine seed dispersal (by birds?) and duration of seed viability in the soil.

LAND MANAGEMENT/OWNERSHIP: BLM - Safford and Tucson Field Offices; NPS - Saguaro National Park; USFS - Coronado and Tonto National Forests; State Land Department; Tucson Mountain County Park; Private.

SOURCES OF FURTHER INFORMATION

LITERATURE CITATIONS:

- Arizona Revised Statutes Chapter 7. 1993. Arizona Native Plant Law. Appendix A:10.
- Bertelsen, D. 1990. Letter to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Phoenix, Arizona. December 1, 1990.
- Bertelsen, D. 1991. Presentation on *Abutilon parishii* at the Coronado National Forest Plant Workshop. November 22, 1991.
- Bertelsen, C. D. 2000. Personal communication in review of AGFD, HDMS abstract of *Abutilon parishii*.
- Fryxell, P. 1988. Letter to Rebecca Van Devender, Tucson, Arizona. December 1, 1988.
- Fryxell, P. 1989. Letter to Tom Van Devender, Tucson, Arizona. January 10, 1989.
- Kearney, T.H., R.H. Peebles with collaborators. 1960. Arizona flora. Second edition with supplement by J.T. Howell, E. McClintock and collaborators. University of California Press. Berkeley. pp. 539; 1060.
- Lehr, J.H. 1978. A catalogue of the flora of Arizona. Desert Botanical Gardens, Phoenix, Arizona. p. 94.
- Rondeau, R.J. 1991. Flora and vegetation of the Tucson Mountains, Pima County, Arizona. Unpublished Master's Thesis, University of Arizona. Tucson.
- Shreve, F. and I.L. Wiggins. 1964. Vegetation and flora of the Sonoran Desert. Stanford University Press. Stanford. II:878.
- USDA, Forest Service Region 3. 1990. Sensitive Plant List. p.16.
- USDA, Forest Service Region 3. 1999. Regional Forester's Sensitive Species List.
- USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 1990. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Review of Plant Taxa for Listing as Endangered or Threatened Species; Notice of Review. Federal Register 55(35):6186.
- USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 1993. Plant Taxa for Listing as Endangered or Threatened Species; Notice of Review. Federal Register 58(188): 51147.
- USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 1996. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants: Review of Plant and Animal Taxa that are Candidates for Listing as Endangered or Threatened Species; Notice of Review. Proposed Rule. Federal Register 61(40):7595-7613.
- Van Devender, T.R. and C.D. Bertelsen. 1994. Bureau of Land Management, Safford District, Rare Plant Workshop, November 14-16. Tucson, Arizona.
- Van Devender, T.R., C.D. Bertelsen, and J.F. Wiens. 1994. Status report *Abutilon parishii* S. Watson. Unpublished report submitted to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Arizona Ecological Services State Office, Phoenix, Arizona.
- Van Devender, T.R. 1989. Letter to Sue Rutman, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Phoenix, Arizona. January 6, 1989.
- Van Devender, T.R., C.D. Bertelsen and R.J. Rondeau. 1991. The saga of a rare plant. Sonorensis 12(1):5-6.
- Van Devender, T.R. 1991. Flora of the Tucson mountains, with emphasis on Tucson Mountain District, Saguaro National Monument. Unpublished report.
- Watson, S. 1885. *Abutilon parishii*. Proceedings of the American Academy 20:357.

MAJOR KNOWLEDGEABLE INDIVIDUALS:

- Dave Bertelsen - Tucson, Arizona.
- Renee Rondeau - Tucson, Arizona.
- Tom van Devender - Arizona-Sonora Desert Museum, Tucson.
- John Wiens - Arizona-Sonora Desert Museum, Tucson.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

Plant known by several names creating confusion. Plant collected as tortoise food at Little Shipp Wash under a different name and Desert Botanical Garden also had specimens with other names.

Revised: 1990-11-21 (SR)
1991-10-10 (BKP)
1991-12-05 (SR)
1992-09-21 (BKP)
1994 10-14 (PLW)
1997-07-28 (SMS)
2000-03-23 (CDB)

To the user of this abstract: you may use the entire abstract or any part of it. We do request, however, that if you make use of this abstract in plans, reports, publications, etc. that you credit the Arizona Game and Fish Department. Please use the following citation:

Arizona Game and Fish Department. 2000. *Abutilon parishii*. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the Heritage Data Management System, Arizona Game and Fish Department, Phoenix, AZ. 5 pp.